

EXPOSING TERRORISM

INSIDE THE TERROR TRIANGLE



Arthur R. Thompson
CEO of The John Birch Society

The New American

THAT FREEDOM SHALL NOT PERISH



UNDERSTANDING TODAY'S WORLD

CALL 1-800-727-TRUE TO SUBSCRIBE TODAY!
WWW.THENEWAMERICAN.COM

Exposing Terrorism

Inside the Terror Triangle

By Arthur R. Thompson

**The John Birch Society
P.O. Box 8040
Appleton, WI 54912**

Copyright 2009 by The John Birch Society

All rights reserved.

**Published by The John Birch Society
Appleton, WI 54912**

Manufactured in the United States of America.

Terrorism: More than meets the eye.

Introduction

The purpose of this booklet is to open up a number of questions that need to be answered if Americans are serious about ending terrorism.

The War on Terror has placed our fighting men and women in harm's way. And, if terrorism comes to America on the scale it has ravaged other countries, it will also place our police and firefighters in much more danger than they already face day to day.

In addition, our military and law enforcement, in the name of fighting terror, are being asked more and more to engage in activities which violate their oath of office to the Constitution, specifically our Bill of Rights. They should not be forced into this position, knowingly or unknowingly.

There is more to the War on Terror than meets the eye.

The first question to ask when terrorism strikes

Why is it employed? Terrorism is not simply acts of violence; nor is it an individual acting alone. Terrorism has a purpose, a political purpose.

Therefore, a terrorist has an end in mind; or those who set him in motion do. The terrorist carrying out the act may not even be aware of what is really behind his deed and he may perform his mad act thinking one thing while only his handlers are aware of the real purpose behind it.

Terrorism accomplishes one of two primary goals: 1) changing the body of people actually in power: the government; or 2) facilitating a change in the policy and/or the system of the existing government. The latter almost always is the opposite of the stated intent of "liberating the people." Rather, it is to make the government more totalitarian. Therefore, *the initial change* actually occurs within the minds of the people more than within the government. It is the process of using terror to condition the people to allow more government for safety's sake.

Examples of the second result abound in the 20th Century. One of the most famous involved the Reichstag fire which enabled Hitler to declare virtual martial law by blaming communists for the act, and having the German people accept total government in response to the contrived national emergency. Most historians believe that the famous fire was actually started by the Nazis themselves to provide the excuse to clamp down on the people. There was a *plan already in place* to use once the act was carried out.

Likewise, the Bolsheviks in Russia used the reaction to acts of terror engineered by the forerunner of the KGB, the Cheka, to provide the excuse to clamp down on the entire nation. They used this reaction as the excuse to eliminate any leaders opposed to communism and they speedily executed them as “terrorists.” Once the Bolsheviks had total control, any pretext based on terrorism was dropped and the gulag system of concentration camps replaced it.

These examples of how to cause people to stand still for or even demand that something be done due to an “emergency” should bring to mind the saying: The action is in the reaction. Other lesser examples include real or contrived crises that media and government invent to create demand for solutions that do not involve terror but do invoke fear. The solutions offered are more government intrusion into the marketplace or into the lives of the people, or both. Recent examples in the U. S. include the banking crisis and debate over health care.

What Was the Motivation Behind 9/11?

Once awareness is gained about the reasons behind organized acts of terror, one has to ask what the motivation was for the attack on the Twin Towers in New York City on September 11, 2001?

The first goal of terrorists mentioned above does not make any sense because those who carried out the 9/11 attack were not trying to replace the American government. Our nation is too big, too diverse, too decentralized, etc. to cause a collapse of the government because of one dramatic attack, or series of attacks. Therefore, the goal must have been to alter the policies of our government and have the people accept them.

Often, there are two aspects of the goal when the object is to change government policy: a) to provide the excuse for a government clampdown, as in the examples of Germany and Russia; and b) to set the stage for a complete *change in the form* of government from what exists to what is desired. They are usually interrelated, as they were in the case of what occurred in Germany.

The people of the United States are too free, and continue to have a great deal to say about government at all levels, to permit our system to collapse. The argument can be made that we have lost some of this precious attribute, especially in the 20th Century, which is true. But we still remain, in essence, a free people, able to regain all of our freedom if enough of us become sufficiently organized to do so.

Osama bin Laden, ostensibly the leader of al-Qaeda, made a revealing



The Reichstag fire was played up by the Nazis as a “national emergency,” an excuse to impose total government control over the German people.



The face of America is changing from free access and travel, to standing in line and showing one's "papers" to "authorities," just as what happened to the German people.



Arbitrary searches turn the whole idea of innocent until proven guilty to guilty until proven innocent, and are a violation of the 4th and 5th Amendments of the Bill of Rights.



The purpose of 9/11, according to Osama bin Laden's statement, was to react the United States government into imposing controls on the people and having the American people stand for it.

statement shortly after 9/11 that gives a clue to the basic reasoning behind the attack. He boasted:

[T]he battle has moved to inside America...I tell you, freedom and human rights in America are doomed. The U.S. Government will lead the American people and the West in general into an unbearable hell and choking life.

There obviously can be no doubt in his mind about the purpose of his organization. It is to frighten the American people into standing still while our own government becomes more onerous and, in the name of security, clamps down on the basic freedoms we have always enjoyed. These are the freedoms our government was originally founded to protect.

Osama bin Laden, who got his start in the Muslim Brotherhood, may be dead, but his legacy lives on.

As to the interrelated aspect of the change in our system of government, we will address this shortly.

More Than Meets the Eye

Interestingly, what was revealed shortly after 9/11, and reported briefly in the world's media but largely ignored, were the revelations of KGB defector Lt. Col. Alexander Litvinenko. He stated that Ayman Al-Zawahiri, the second in command of al-Qaeda under Osama bin Laden, was a trained Russian asset of the KGB/FSB (see Appendix I, Note 1).

Even though he was living in England, Litvinenko paid with his life for this and other similarly startling revelations (see Appendix I, Note 2). Two Russian agents spiked his tea with a radioactive substance, polonium 210. It led to his prolonged and excruciating death (see Appendix I, Note 3).

Litvinenko's revelations mean that the Russian handlers of Al-Zawahiri had to have known 9/11 was being planned, financed, coordinated, and carried out by agents infiltrated into the United States. So widely coordinated was it that many in the intelligence community believe that the size and scope were too big for it to have been handled independently by al-Qaeda and that state-sponsored intelligence operations had to have been involved (see Appendix I, Note 4).

The various acts of terror blamed on al-Qaeda, or groups linked to al-Qaeda, range from those in Central and North Africa across the entire Muslim-dominated world. They are almost daily occurrences. If you stop and think about it, this sort of organization is too large to hide, unless it has

state sponsorship, protection, and/or direction.

Intelligence bureaus have the capability to listen in on every means of technological communication. Osama bin Laden was constantly monitored 24/7 up to 9/11 and our intelligence system knew his every move, according to documentaries on PBS. Then we were told he disappeared into a cave somewhere in Afghanistan to run a very large, extensive network from Africa to Indonesia. It does not compute unless al-Qaeda is state-sponsored.

The link between al-Qaeda and Russia is real. And in most cases of terrorism, as with al-Qaeda, it is masked behind Islam.

Recent revelations from whistleblowers and other sources, some of whom for good reasons wish to remain anonymous, have exposed our government's already substantial ability to intercept digital communications of all kinds. This capability, while raising legitimate and serious concerns about encroachments on the rights of all guaranteed by the Fourth Amendment, allows our government to track and intercept financial transactions and other transmissions emanating from terrorists and arms merchants. These abilities, we should expect, can be used to trace terrorist activity, including that of al-Qaeda and that organization's leadership, or any other terrorist organization for that matter.

No one deals with the manufacture, sales, and shipment of arms that is not regulated by a state. Arms dealers aside, when we see terrorists with Russian or Chinese arms, at some point the Russian or Chinese government is involved. Free enterprise in the arms business in those countries no longer exists, if it ever did, no matter how interesting the plots in novels or movies might be. We may see an arms dealer on our end, but on the other end they are state-sponsored merchants of death. At the very least, the state acquiesces to the deals.

Americans tend to think that Russian and Chinese private firms manufacture weapons just as some companies produce small hand guns up to major weapons systems in the U.S. This is not the way it works. All weaponry in these other countries is manufactured in government-owned and government-operated facilities. Their products are not sold or given to anyone without government knowledge.

The fact that almost all terrorist groups are armed with Russian and/or Chinese weapons is not a coincidence.

Russia has a long history of covert activities extending back to the days of the czars. Most of these campaigns were undertaken in opposition to expansion of the British Empire near the southern borders of Russian territory. The interaction between the intelligence bureaus of the two powers

became known as the Great Game.

Several movies have been made over the years about the Great Game. The most notable was *Kim*, starring Errol Flynn. It was based on the book of the same name by Rudyard Kipling.

The Bolsheviks inherited much of this covert organization and honed their skills in subversion and espionage, using these abilities ultimately to communize much of Europe and Asia. After World War II, Russia's communists built a world-wide terrorist organization. Its many branches were run by Moscow but made to appear at the street level as disorganized, independent operations.

The technique employed had the KGB use a surrogate state, the Bulgarian secret police for instance, to operate a terrorist group inside a third country such as Turkey. This newer group would then carry out acts of terror in a fourth country. Such a procedure created layers of deniability and Moscow could play the game of cooperation internationally while actually running the terrorist show.

The above is exactly what happened regarding the attempted assassination of Pope John Paul II in 1981. The assassin was from a Muslim country, Turkey, but his orders led back to Moscow through Bulgaria. While Gorbachev later enjoyed being given a moderate image to the world by the media, he was one of the leaders of Russia, and its KGB, who signed off on the assassination plot.

It has long been the objective of Moscow to use any and all means to fool people and pull them into their orbit, regardless of the label placed on the political system. Such is the current situation regarding Moscow's use of Islam. Leonid Brezhnev was the leader of Russia longer than anyone except Stalin. In a 1981 address to the 26th Congress of the Communist Party of The Soviet Union, he said:

...a liberation struggle can be waged under the banner
of Islam.

A "liberation struggle" has always been a euphemism in their lexicon for communist revolution.

Deny, Deny, Deny

Since the so-called collapse of communism, the Russian KGB/FSB has added another layer of deniability that increases the possibility of creating conflict among people leading to more opportunities for Russia to negate



KGB defector to the West, Lt. Col. Alexander Litvinenko with one of his two books exposing Russian terrorism and duplicity.



Litvinenko in his death bed in England after two Russian agents poisoned his tea with a radioactive substance.



Osama bin Laden with his second in command, al-Zawahiri, a Russian agent. After 9/11, the world saw more of al-Zawahiri than bin Laden as the spokesman for al-Qaeda. With the death of bin Laden, al-Zawahiri is now in command.



Pope John Paul II in a hospital after the attempted assassination on his life on the orders of Moscow.



The arrest of Viktor Bout has made it appear that he was independent arms dealer. He used a large fleet of Russian military cargo aircraft to carry Russian arms to terrorists.



Mikhail Gorbachev enjoys a moderate image due to the Western media, but he, as one of the KGB leadership of Russia, signed off on the attempted assassination of Pope John Paul II.



Leonid Brezhnev, as leader of the Soviet Union, said that they could build communism by using Islam.



The Muslim women's garb known as the burka.



The Islamic terrorist leaders use gullible Muslims and the feeble-minded to carry out their horrid acts, and are not aware of the motives of their leaders.

the influence of America among the nations of the world. This new layer of deniability has been to make terrorism appear to be *entirely* Muslim in origin.

The Muslim Brotherhood is known as a linchpin of the radical Islam movement. In an article about the Brotherhood in *The New York Times* of October 13, 2001, one can read about its founder, a man named Qutb:

As Fathi Yakan, one of Qutb's disciples wrote in the 1960s:
"The groundwork for the French Revolution was laid by Rousseau, Voltaire and Montesquieu; the Communist Revolution realized plans set by Marx, Engels and Lenin... The same holds for us as well."

We believe he means more than simply stating that the Muslim Brotherhood alone is behind an Islamic revolution. Instead, we see mention of the socialist conspiracy of the ages, not the Koran, as their model. What makes this so significant is that this Egyptian-based organization supplies much of the philosophical underpinning of the radical Muslim movement worldwide.

Examples abound of the communist terrorists of the 1960s and 1970s becoming clerics in the Muslim, Christian, and Jewish religions. Selling Marxism disguised in religious clothing became common. Most of the terrorists donning clerical clothing became Muslims.

In the United States, H. Rap Brown of the communist Black Panthers and Stokely Carmichael of "Burn, baby, burn" fame became Islamic religious leaders. Likewise, the most famous communist terrorist known as Carlos the Jackal became a leading promoter of radical Islam.

These men, and many more, did not change their aims. They changed only their names and their clothes.

In addition, great pains were taken to hide the communist or Russian sponsorship of key terrorist leaders. Always, the goal sought was to promote the idea that the aim of the terrorists was to install a Muslim government.

Few have stopped to think that where the terrorists are most active, the Muslim religion is already dominant. So the objective has to be to replace the existing Muslim-led governments with something else. America is not the only target; the Muslim world is as well.

Individuals and organizations, such as Yasser Arafat and Hamas, have always had deep ties to the Russian KGB. The façade erected around each has been a Palestinian homeland, not a communist enclave.

In the process of making Russian assets appear to be Muslim only, the

eyes of America have been focused on the wrong enemy. There is no way that victory over terrorism can be won if the wrong enemy is the target.

Security or Something Else?

To be successful, terrorists or their handlers must also have allies within the government they seek to change. This has to be true in America or we must have the dumbest people in the world leading, for instance, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

I have personally witnessed too many instances of supposed “security” that make no sense if 1) the problem is Islamic, and 2) terrorism is a real threat.

I have seen teams of Muslim women dressed in the Muslim garb, completely covered except for their eyes, going aboard American aircraft to clean the planes. I have even been required to show my ID and boarding pass to a Transportation Security Administration (TSA) employee dressed in a burqa before I could proceed to my flight.

We could fill several pages of personal experience where Muslims, foreign-born Russians, and others, are inside American aircraft as cleaners, baggage and food handlers, even aircraft flight personnel. Not to mention illegal aliens. We are talking about American airports and companies. We have also been told by security personnel that these people are not screened as much as the passengers. Is this stupidity or something worse?

Security at American centers of transportation is aimed more at the citizen traveler than at the people employed there who could easily pose a danger to the traveler.

Some of the exercises carried out by the Coast Guard and others at the behest of DHS are quite intimidating. Many are aimed at small pleasure craft carrying families on outings. They involve small, fast boats armed with machine guns and frightening countenances speeding up to the pleasure craft. This is not hearsay; this is something that I personally witnessed on the waters of peaceful Puget Sound in the state of Washington.

If the purpose of terrorism is to alter America so it becomes a less-free country, then the Patriot Act and how it was passed takes on a greater significance. For the 342-paged Patriot Act *had already been written beforehand* and then submitted to Congress within hours of 9/11. It was then passed without a single congressman reading it in its entirety. Most congressmen did not even see it prior to the vote.

The bill was so onerous that the committee, through which the bill emerged on the way to the floor for a vote, stopped it from suspending habeas corpus,

Justifying Murder: The Deep Intellectual Roots of Islamic Terror

Continued From Page A13

new University in Jerusalem, who has written extensively on modern Islam. It was from that Jewish Bible and Muslim Islamism that the entire Islamic movement that was organized in Palestine followed in the Arab world for the hundred years that came before today.

But even that does not do justice to the Islamic revolution in the Middle East. It is probably the Islamic view and Islamic Islamicism, not Islamic Islamicism, that is the real force behind the Islamic movement in the Middle East.

As Fathi Yakan, one of Qutb's disciples, wrote in the 1960's: "The groundwork for the French Revolution was laid by Rousseau, Voltaire and Montesquieu; the Communist Revolution realized plans set by Marx, Engels and Lenin. . . . The same holds true for us as well."

In his most popular book, "Signposts on the Road" (1980), Mr. Qutb wrote: "This is the real message of Islam. The message of Islam is the message of the Islamic revolution and Islamic Islamicism."

Mr. Qutb, who began his career as a newspaper literary critic, was radicalized in a weekly magazine, "The Islamic Review," between 1944 and 1954. It was from this magazine that he began his career as a writer, and it was from this magazine that he began his career as a writer.

Mr. Qutb was a very close friend of the late Dr. Muhammad Ali Jinnah, the founder of Pakistan. He was a very close friend of the late Dr. Muhammad Ali Jinnah, the founder of Pakistan.



Fathi Yakan (left) and Stokley Carmichael (right) in 1967.

Islamic Islamicism is the real force behind the Islamic movement in the Middle East. It is probably the Islamic view and Islamic Islamicism, not Islamic Islamicism, that is the real force behind the Islamic movement in the Middle East.

But even that does not do justice to the Islamic revolution in the Middle East. It is probably the Islamic view and Islamic Islamicism, not Islamic Islamicism, that is the real force behind the Islamic movement in the Middle East.

Mr. Qutb was a very close friend of the late Dr. Muhammad Ali Jinnah, the founder of Pakistan. He was a very close friend of the late Dr. Muhammad Ali Jinnah, the founder of Pakistan.

Mr. Qutb was a very close friend of the late Dr. Muhammad Ali Jinnah, the founder of Pakistan. He was a very close friend of the late Dr. Muhammad Ali Jinnah, the founder of Pakistan.

Mr. Qutb was a very close friend of the late Dr. Muhammad Ali Jinnah, the founder of Pakistan. He was a very close friend of the late Dr. Muhammad Ali Jinnah, the founder of Pakistan.

Mr. Qutb was a very close friend of the late Dr. Muhammad Ali Jinnah, the founder of Pakistan. He was a very close friend of the late Dr. Muhammad Ali Jinnah, the founder of Pakistan.

Mr. Qutb was a very close friend of the late Dr. Muhammad Ali Jinnah, the founder of Pakistan. He was a very close friend of the late Dr. Muhammad Ali Jinnah, the founder of Pakistan.

Mr. Qutb was a very close friend of the late Dr. Muhammad Ali Jinnah, the founder of Pakistan. He was a very close friend of the late Dr. Muhammad Ali Jinnah, the founder of Pakistan.



to the regime.

As Fathi Yakan, one of Qutb's disciples, wrote in the 1960's: "The groundwork for the French Revolution was laid by Rousseau, Voltaire and Montesquieu; the Communist Revolution realized plans set by Marx, Engels and Lenin. . . . The same holds true for us as well."

In his most popular book, "Sign-


The Muslim Brotherhood operates on the socialist template, both for their violence and community involvement while using the Koran to recruit.



H. Rap Brown, aka Iman Jamil.



Stokley Carmichael, aka Kwame Toure.



American terrorists became "Muslims" to promote their Marxism.



In Europe, renowned communist terrorists such as Carlos the Jackal became radical Muslim leaders and authors.



Yasser Arafat laying a wreath at Lenin's tomb, while his KGB handler Vasali Samoylenkop stands behind him. Arafat was a life-long Russian asset.

a right of the people that extends back to the Magna Charta. It is unknown who wrote the bill that extended the reach of government over the American people as much as any time during our history.

Is the American landscape being altered? Any traveler boarding an airliner knows that it is. Violations of our Bill of Rights are now a constant occurrence in airports terminals and in other public transportation locations.

One can argue that there is merit in the procedures of TSA, even that they are needed. But adherence to the Constitution is what all government officials and employees swear an oath to. There are a number of examples of the violation of this oath.

Amendment I states that no law can be made “abridging the freedom of speech.” Yet one may not express his opinion about being searched and anyone who does can be detained for “attitude.”

Amendment IV states that the “right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.” Every time a TSA agent scans or physically searches your person or your possessions, he violates your rights protected by this portion of the Constitution. In addition, you are not allowed to carry money over a certain amount when you travel or you will be detained and the money might be confiscated.

Amendment V states that no person may “be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law.” In our transportation centers, we no longer have the liberty to travel freely without, in essence, the permission of the TSA and under the constant scrutiny of its personnel. Also, property is confiscated regularly. Due process of law means employment of the judicial process.

The question must be asked, if we are witnessing the above mentioned actions now, what new measures will be initiated in the name of another terrorist attack? And, another? Until our Bill of Rights is so eroded that we have lost it?

Rightly or wrongly, Americans, as their counterparts in other countries have done, are allowing their freedoms to disappear in the face of a “national emergency.”

Down through the ages, people have always desired security. Assuring that there will be peace of mind and body has meant relinquishing something of value for the promise of security. The price has been paid either through their labor or through giving up part or all of their freedom. The exchange

has almost always cost them their freedom.

One can argue the necessity for measures to protect the people or to catch a terrorist or criminal, but only on a very temporary basis, and usually only localized. The violations of the Bill of Rights across the entire country in the name of the war on terror have been ongoing for a decade, *four more years than the number the United States was involved in World War I and World War II combined*.

The manner in which the Patriot Act was brought before the Congress, when DHS was created, and the pressure placed on our representatives to pass it without enough time to read it, makes obvious the existence of a plan already in place to implement once a major terrorist attack had taken place. Whoever was responsible for it did not want the contents debated.

In an exclusive interview with *USA TODAY*, DHS Secretary Janet Napolitano stated, “We will always live in a terrorist environment.” Asked during the interview, “Do you perceive a reduced sense of urgency about the terrorist threat?” she replied that terrorism “is something that we just have to be prepared to live with.... We need to do all we can to mitigate the risk. But that means individuals undergo a certain amount of inconvenience at times.”

Benjamin Franklin once admonished the American people as follows:

Those who would give up essential liberty to purchase a little temporary safety deserve neither liberty nor safety.

Sadly, we even witness good people applauding the dictates of the Department of Homeland Security that regularly violate the Constitution of the United States for security’s sake. We have to keep in mind that government rarely relinquishes power. The rights we have already allowed our government to usurp may never be restored if we do not pressure our representatives in Congress, at some point, to honor their oath to the Constitution.

The face of America is changing. A decade ago before 9/11, the American people would never have tolerated what is going on today in the name of the War on Terror — being searched, forced to submit to scanning, inability to speak one’s mind about being searched, etc.

If you read our *Declaration of Independence* with its listing grievances addressed to Britain’s King George III, the sufferances we endure today, or are about to endure if we don’t stop our leaders, are remarkably similar. For example: “He has erected a Multitude of New Offices, and sent hither Swarms of Officers to harass our People, and eat out their Substance.” And, although not yet in place, “He has combined with others to subject

us to Jurisdiction foreign to our Constitution....” The latter grievance will become real if the plan to bring our nation under the jurisdiction of the UN’s International Criminal Court (ICC) is completed.* Also, members of the Supreme Court are boldly and openly basing their decisions on foreign law rather than U.S. law. Sandra Day O’Connor, no longer serving within the Court, continues to be a significant proponent of this trend. She stated as far back on October 28, 2003: “I suspect that over time we will rely increasingly ... on international and foreign courts in examining domestic issues.”

Friends in High Places

In addition to changing the face of America (the goal Osama bin Laden expressed after 9/11), on both the national and the international levels there is a push to merge our country into something called the New World Order (NWO) — their words, not ours (see Appendix III). So we come to the interrelated aspect of altering the policies of government: actual change in the form of government.

While the War on Terror is not the only excuse being used to establish a NWO, it is an important part of the initiative.

Such luminaries as diverse as Henry Kissinger, George Bush the Elder, Vice President Biden, Fidel Castro, Gorbachev, and the Chinese Communist publication *China Daily* have called for the NWO in the name of establishing peace and in response to the spread of terrorism.

The NWO is actually a plan to merge the United States into a one-world government under the United Nations. In the process, we would lose our independence and become only one vote in a world parliament. Only having one vote, we would find ourselves taxed to death since we are the richest country in the world. Also, we would permanently lose our Bill of Rights and other constitutionally protected freedoms.

No Americans who cherish their freedom want to cancel our Constitution and surrender our independence. Yet this is exactly what the Henry Kissingers, Zbigniew Brzezinskis and many others — both in the U.S. and elsewhere — have in mind.

Interestingly, Kissinger has been named to a Vladimir Putin- established committee to fight terrorism, a supposedly joint Russian and American citizens’ group. Kissinger is co-chairman and heads the American section. Yevgeny Primakov, former head of the KGB *who at one time personally*

* The trend toward foreign law trumping U.S. law is already underway, as evidenced by the emerging NAFTA tribunals under Chapter 11 of that agreement (see “NAFTA Tribunals Stir U.S. Worries” in the April 18, 2004, *New York Times*).

oversaw the use of communist Islamic terrorists, is the Russian co-chair.

So here we have a Russian committee, with leaders appointed by a KGB veteran, co-chaired by one man who wants a NWO subordinating the United States to a world government, and the other co-chair the former head of the KGB-sponsored terrorism!

Clearly there is more to the War on Terror than meets the eye.

We are told that Russia is our ally in the War on Terror. They were our ally in World War II as well. When the war was over, Russia proceeded to communize Central Europe and most of Asia. Some ally!

In addition to the Putin-Kissinger-Primakov committee, we know that former heads of the CIA and KGB are working together to solve the “problem of terrorism.” How much did they cooperate before they left their official positions? We do not know, but we do know, for instance, that the FBI computers in Washington, D.C. were networked with computers in FBI offices in Moscow and Kiev prior to 9/11. Establishing these offices of the FBI in Russia and the Ukraine was done at the time using the excuse of cooperating in the fight against international crime and the war on drugs — much of which is managed by the KGB/FSB-controlled Russian “mafia.”


Contrary to public pronouncements, terrorism is coordinated by Moscow. Our intelligence bureaus are saying terrorism is Muslim and its personnel then sit at the same table with Moscow. They have even established working relationships with Moscow.

One of the least known agreements between Russia and the United States to ostensibly fight the War on Terror, yet the most foolhardy, was signed by President George W. Bush and Vladimir Putin eight months after 9/11. The policy continues under Obama. It is the “Joint Declaration on a New Relationship Between the United States and Russia.”

While much of it is bad enough, the part that is the most audacious is,

We are achieving a new strategic relationship. The era in which the United States and Russia saw each other as an enemy or strategic threat has ended. *We are partners* and we will cooperate to advance stability, security, and *economic integration*, and to jointly counter global challenges and to help resolve regional conflicts. (*Emphasis added.*)


Partners? Economic integration? How can one be partners with Putin? As to economic integration, this means the banking system first, gradually involving the daily business that we all engage in. It reminds one of the



Benjamin Franklin warned us about trading freedom for security.



Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security, Janet Napolitano, stated that we will always live under the threat of terrorism and we will have to get used to inconveniences by government. Does this also mean that the War on Terror will never end?



The War on Terror has lasted longer than the American involvement in World War I and II combined. Within a few months of the publishing date of this booklet, the Korean War can be added into the combination.



The KGB ruler of Russia, Vladimir Putin, named New World Order advocate Henry Kissinger as co-chairman of the American section of a Russian-formed citizens group to fight terrorism.



Kissinger meeting with his co-chairman of the Russian-American group to fight terrorism, Yevgeny Primakov, who ran the Muslim terrorist organization for the KGB.



NATIONAL SECURITY STRATEGY OF THE UNITED STATES

Preface

A NEW WORLD ORDER

A new world order is not a fact; it is an aspiration and an opportunity. We have within our grasp an extraordinary possibility that few generations have enjoyed — to build a new international system in accordance with our own values and ideals, as old patterns and certainties crumble around us.

A NEW WORLD ORDER

A new world order is not a fact; it is an aspiration — and an opportunity. We have within our grasp an extraordinary possibility that few generations have enjoyed — to build a new international system in accordance with our own values and ideals, as old patterns and certainties crumble around us.

George Bush

Milwaukee Sentinel

TUESDAY MORNING / June 5, 1990

In Sports:

- Penn State officially in Big Ten
- Selig elected to Packer board

Wausau

Family in fire to get \$4.5 million / Page 5



Jayk Gilford, known for his role in 'Cocoon,' died at age 62 Monday.

Page 3

TUESDAY MORNING / June 5, 1990

• • • EDITION

Gorbachev urges 'new world order'

Soviet president calls for US as partner to work toward goal

- Full coverage:**
- Gorbachev, met in short, pointed off as man of the moment
 - Baker heads to Copenhagen to take up with Sherezhnev a plan to a low the USSR to keep troops in East Germany.
 - Raisa Gorbacheva and Nancy Reagan, meeting in San Francisco, were warmer than they used to be
 - Confirming human rights violations by Gorbachev condemned in Moscow by watchdog group.
 - The initial endorsement for the Gorbachev reforms in Minnesota's party.

See P&H 2

Sanitized news services

SAN FRANCISCO, Calif. — Saying the world stands at a crossroads, Soviet President Mikhail Gorbachev called Monday for the United States and Soviet Union to partners in building "a new world order."

In a speech at Stanford University in the last town of his 10-day visit to the U.S., Gorbachev said the time was ripe when nations would dissolve their Cold War alliances and work together "for the sake of our civilization."

Gorbachev, who met earlier in the day with former President Ronald Reagan and later with South Korean President Roh Tae-woo, said the world was approaching a time when the very principle of alliance building "should move only to create conditions for a life worthy of a human being, peace, the environment, a moral hunger, a space, drug addiction and aggression."

"In our conversations, he said a new

departure the fact that the Cold War is now behind us," Gorbachev said. "And let us not forget over who won it, who won the Cold War."

"There can be no winners in the Cold War, just like in a nuclear war ... So, any kind of talk about the Cold War being useful to some countries, I think is just political cheating and irresponsibility," Gorbachev told the students, who interrupted him several times with applause and gave him a standing ovation at the conclusion of his speech.

"We must all change," he said, calling for a world marked by "tolerance and tolerance."

"Tolerance is the alpha and omega of a new world order," Gorbachev said. "Without tolerance and respect for one's partner, a trust understanding each other's concerns and problems, we are bound to fail. And the new approach to the structure of our world, to our coexistence and alliance-building."



Former President Ronald Reagan (left), Soviet President Mikhail S. Gorbachev, former first lady

history overlooking San Francisco Bay during a sentimental reunion at the Soviet central general's

words of the government researcher for the Reese Committee in the House

The Post-Register

East Idaho
★ Final ★

EAST IDAHO'S HOME PAPER — 99 YEARS OF SERVICE

Idaho Falls, Idaho, Friday, Oct. 12, 1979

Copyright © 1979 The Post-Register

2 Sections — 20 Cents



Demands 'new world order'

UNITED NATIONS — Cuban President Fidel Castro gestures Friday as he addresses the United Nations General Assembly.

Mineral price increase could

Fidel Castro demands 'new world order'

By JERRY BATESMAN
Associated Press Writer
UNITED NATIONS (AP) — Fidel Castro, finger waving in the air and angry fist clumping the lectern, demanded a "new world order" and threatened the United States today for "aggression" and "expansionist" policies around the world.

But the bearded Cuban president, addressing the U.N. General Assembly for the first time since he spoke here as a frail, young revolutionary leader 19 years ago, declared: "I have not come here as a prophet of revolution, nor have I come here to ask of you that the world be violently conquered."

He said that instead he was here "to speak of peace and cooperation among the peoples, and I have come to warn that, if we do not peacefully and mutually remove the present injustices and inequalities, the future will be apocalyptic."

Applause
Castro's two-hour address, which dwelt at length on the disparities between the world's rich and poor, drew applause more than a dozen times and ended in a 15-minute standing ovation and shouts of "Fidel! Fidel!" from the 125-member Assembly, which is dominated by Third World nations.

U.S. Ambassador Donald T. McHenry and other members of the U.S. delegation were seated in the front row.

at 10th Street, four blocks north of the U.N. entrance, and supporters of the Cuban president were gathered in a six-mile block south of the entrance, at 4th Street.

By 10 a.m., a half hour before the speech was scheduled to start, a few thousands anti-Castro protesters had gathered in the park.

See also story on A-12.
Following his speech, Castro had a lunch date with U.S. Ambassador Donald McHenry and Mexican diplomats.
For Castro's scheduled 45 hours at the United Nations, hundreds of Americans, Cuban and United Nations security men blanketed the East River headquarters and the surrounding areas. U.N. grounds were closed to the public today.

Peace now
New York police said they will patrol the river to head off any incidents similar to the 1961 attack on Ernest "Che" Guevara, Castro's justice minister. As Guevara addressed the General Assembly, anti-Castro Cubans fired a salute at the U.N. complex from across the water. The shell fell short and exploded harmlessly into the water. Guevara was killed in 1967 leading a revolutionary band in Bolivia.

Language Tips/中国日报英语点津

CHINA Daily 中国日报网 英语点津

首页 | 社区 | 视听 | 新闻 | 英语 | 新闻 | 专栏 | 实用 | 休闲 | 专题 | 文摘 | 教育 | 论坛

2009年9月8日

订阅ChinaDaily手机报 | ChinaDaily | 环球在线 | 电视在线 | 新浪财经 | 新浪网

今天 | 10月8日

不要公开地事?

member 8

ouble shared is a trouble halved.

ly should you always carry a watch...

要聪明的鱼头

ry can't a man living in USA be buried in.

60年流行词

Partners 合作专区

BIBI 英语教学频道

Slang / Work 生活 / 工作

Neither Nor 两者都不

London Transport 伦敦的公共交通

美国使馆文化教育处

威尔士大学国际学生提供有抱负美学家

英国大学生在学校解决道德大事

◆ 熟练自用, 有事乃大(翻译)

◆ defining alliance

◆ Don't Ask Don't Tell

◆ 七上八下怎么翻译

◆ 同下各位: 陈平“我抱得了华”怎么说?

◆ 四舍五人

◆ 聚散皆半渡

◆ one a tree is known by its fruit.

帮助: 在家教英语 地铁“安检”本土原音

在此输入关键词进行搜索

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

This makes it more urgent that the international community move to wage all-out war on terrorism by, in the final analysis, establishing a new world order that can ensure lasting peace."

— China Daily

APRÈS LE DÉLUGE

The chance for a new world order

By Henry A. Kissinger

Published: January 12, 2009

As the new U.S. administration prepares to take office amid grave financial and international crises, it may seem counterintuitive to argue that the very unsettled nature of the international system generates a unique opportunity for creative diplomacy.

That opportunity involves a seeming contradiction. On one level, the financial collapse represents a major blow to the standing of the United States. While American political judgments have often proved controversial, the American prescription for a world financial order has generally been unchallenged. Now disillusionment with the United States' management of it is widespread.

-  E-Mail Article
-  Listen to Article
-  Printer-Friendly
-  3-Column Format
-  Translate
-  Share Article
-  Text Size  

The New Zealand Herald
nzherald.co.nz

SATURDAY MARCH 08, 2008
3:18AM NZT

World Story

Brown's secret talks on 'new world order'

5:00AM Tuesday January 22, 2008
By Andrew Grice

British Prime Minister Gordon Brown has begun secret talks with other world leaders on far-reaching reform of the United Nations Security Council as part of a drive to create a "new world order" and "global society".

Brown is drawing up plans to expand the number of permanent members in a move that will provoke fears in his country that the veto enjoyed by Britain could be diluted eventually.

The United States, France, Russia and China also have a veto but the number of members could be doubled to include India, Germany, Japan, Brazil and one or two African nations.

Brown has discussed a shake-up of a structure created in 1945 to reflect the world's new challenges and power bases during his four-day trip to China and India. British sources revealed "intense discussions" on UN reform were under way and Brown raised it whenever he met another world leader.





Coast Guard craft on the orders of the Department of Homeland Security patrol United States waters intimidating family pleasure craft with manned machine guns.

of Representatives, Norman Dodd, when he quoted the head of the Ford Foundation, H. Rowan Gaither, as stating that the goal was to “alter our life in the United States [so] that we can be comfortably merged with the Soviet Union.”

As stated earlier, in order for terrorism to be successful, the terrorists must have allies within the targeted governments.

It was disconcerting for many of us who watched President George Bush run to Pakistan and enlist that country as our first ally in the War on Terror. Pakistan’s intelligence bureau had been engaged for years in training terrorists. Now we see Pakistan openly becoming a satellite of China.

Pakistan has asked China to come in and build pipe lines, dams, and military bases to be conjointly manned by China and Pakistan. The Chinese are now supplying Pakistan with jet fighters, necessitating further military integration between the two countries through maintenance, training, and, and spare parts programs.

Pakistan’s prime minister in May, 2011 stated that China and Pakistan “are like one nation and two countries.” In his visit to China he compared Pakistan’s “Islamic socialism” to the thoughts of Mao Zedong, the first communist dictator of China.*

* *Financial Times*, May 26, 2011, Page 13.

There Is More To the Story

We have shown only a *small portion of the tip* of the iceberg of evidence demonstrating that Islamic terror is in reality the old communist terror dressed up to look Muslim. We have claimed that certain elements of our government are willing to go along with the deception.

The hatred being bred by the idea that the terror emanates from Islam rather than from Russia could well be used to incite our people into an even larger conflict overseas than we have now.

Are there problems concerning the religion of Islam and the American system of government? The answer is obvious if the proper American relationship between church and state is considered. In a radical Islamic state, the “church” is the state, as in Iran.

While such ayatollahs or imams may appear to be strictly Muslim, there is scant difference between their rule over free speech, freedom of religion, etc. than a communist commissar in Russia or elsewhere. In the end, it is concentration of power, regardless of the label. And, the state militia in such regimes is usually called the Revolutionary Guard.

Let us leave you with a final thought: If the terrorism we see is Islamic, why therefore are the vast bulk of terrorist acts against Muslims? The bomber may be Muslim, being *used* by his handlers, but the higher you go in the terrorist organization, the less it is Islamic and the more it is, again, a grab for power. This was the case a thousand years ago with the so-called Muslim organization called the Assassins and it remains the same today.

The Muslim population themselves will be just as much the victims in a new world order as anyone else.

Nazi terror was initially aimed at the average German, regardless of their race or religion. It resulted in the Holocaust starting with “feeble-minded” and infirm Germans, accelerated to include Jews, then Slavic and gypsy populations, even Christians who resisted. In the end, it was the average German who suffered and died in great numbers as a consequence of Nazi power.

Islamic terror is aimed at the average Muslim, who in the end will suffer in the name of radicalizing Islam to eliminate the “infidel.” In the end, it will be raw power whether it is run from Moscow, Mecca, or some other one world center.

The solution to modern terrorism is to understand what is behind it and then pressure Congress to make the right decisions, both in fighting terror and standing by the Constitution no matter what the temptation may be to do otherwise. It is, after all, their oath to do so.

We need to make Congress understand who the real enemy is. And, we need to refuse to succumb to the hatred that can come out of the belief that terrorism is totally Islamic. Hatred is not a good basis for making decisions.

What can be done:

Inform yourself, and then inform others, especially your congressmen and people of influence in your community, so that they understand who the real enemy is. Circulate copies of this booklet and a variety of DVDs and reprints which are available to help in this campaign at www.ShopJBS.org.

Enforce current immigration laws. During several years of the George W. Bush administration we heard, “We’re fighting them over there so we don’t have to fight them over here.” If that’s true, then why are our borders so broken from lax immigration policies? If the problem is not solved, one day we *will* have to fight them here. While our borders are broken, our laws are still in place. We do not need new laws which will hamper the freedom of American citizens. We only need the enforcement of existing laws. Remember, the hallmark of a free state is the ability of its citizens to come and go as they please.

Cease giving our tax dollars through foreign aid, and our diplomatic recognition, to organizations and regimes that support terrorism. The same approach applies to our supposed “allies” in the War on Terror who are in reality state sponsors of terror. Their activities must be widely exposed.

Urge those who represent you in Congress to insist that our nation not engage in any war without the required constitutional declaration of war by Congress. We must not go to war under United Nations mandates or resolutions, or any other excuse that involves American men and women. American troops must be brought home from any and all unconstitutional wars or “peacekeeping” missions under the UN. The U.S. must target specific terrorist leaders, groups, and terror-sponsoring states with specific operations, not full military invasion.

Reestablish the House Internal Security Committee to investigate internal subversion, especially terrorist groups. Such investigations should be published and made available to the people.

Work to remain free from any future foreign entanglements that subject our Constitution and the independence of the American people to the whims of others, and withdraw from organizations in which we are currently entangled, such as the United Nations.

For further information on terrorism, and how to help keep America independent, go to JBS.org.

This booklet could not have been produced without the valuable research of William F. Jasper, Senior Editor of The New American magazine.

Appendix I

Note 1

Not only do we have Alexander Litvinenko's testimony in this regard, there is the fact that Ayman al-Zawahiri played a key role in directing the radical Islamist/communist forces in the Balkans during the 1990s. He operated out of Sofia, Bulgaria, a major KGB intel/terror-training center for decades that is still a KGB/FSB stronghold. For more details on al-Zawahiri's KGB/FSB connections, see: "Behind Islamic Terror," *The New American* September 3, 2007. Also, see, for instance, "Defector: Putin's KGB trained top al-Qaeda terrorists," *The New American*, August 8, 2005.

Note 2

In addition to the FSB/al-Zawahiri bombshell, Litvinenko presented testimony and evidence (in interviews and two books, *Blowing Up Russia* and *Allegations*) that: a) the series of deadly terrorist bombings throughout Russia that had been blamed on radical Chechen Islamists were actually provocations, the work of Putin's FSB, to justify, among other things, a resumption of the Russian war against Chechnya; b) Italian Prime Minister Romano Prodi (who was also former head of the European Commission) was a top KGB asset in Europe; c) as an investigator/consultant for Italy's Mitrokhin Commission, KGB asset Prodi was probing and exposing alleged KGB-FSB agent Alexander Talik's dealings with Russian Mafia kingpin Semyon Mogilevich and the sale of Soviet weapons, including nuclear material, to al Qaeda (was the investigation complete if Prodi was involved?); d) not only al Qaeda's second-in-command, al-Zawahiri, but also its top field commander in Afghanistan, Juma Namangani, was a KGB agent. Namangani, an elite Soviet Uzbek paratrooper, was trained at the KGB's Saboteur Training Center, now run by the FSB.

Note 3

See "Putin, Poison, and Murder," *The New American* January 22, 2007, available at www.TheNewAmerican.com.

Note 4

See, for instance, KGB-FSB defector Col. Konstantin Preobrazhenskiy's articles and interviews: "Russian Intelligence and Islamic Terror," *FrontPageMagazine.com*, July 16, 2009 (<http://www.frontpagemag.com/readArticle.aspx?ARTID=35568>).

Appendix II

Examples of communist and/or Russian involvement of so-called Muslim terrorists:

Ayman Al-Zawahiri, number two under Osama bin Laden in al-Qaeda.

Exposed as a Russian-trained asset of the KGB/FSB by the KGB/FSB defector Lt. Col. Alexander Litvinenko. Other evidence confirms this.

Yasser Arafat, the late PLO/Palestinian leader. A Russian KGB-trained asset his entire career. The current PLO/Palestinian leadership continues in his footsteps.

H. Rap Brown, of the communist Black Panthers. Became a Muslim leader and changed his name to Jamil Abdullah ai-Amin, remaining a Marxist.

“Carlos the Jackal,” born Ilyich Ramirez Sanchez, named by his father after Lenin. Member or organizer of various Arab/Palestinian groups. Jailed in France for terrorism. Operated under communist state intelligence bureaus. Author of *Revolutionary Islam*.

Stokely Carmichael, of the communist Black Panthers, known for his slogan, “Burn, baby, burn,” referring to committing arson as a terrorist tactic. Became a Muslim, changed his name to Kwame Toure. Traveled extensively and worked with communist leaders around the world.

Hezbollah. See Iran below. Its original overseer was Dr. Mahdi Chamran Savehi, who had established a violent Marxist front known as the Muslim Student’s Association of America while a student in California.

Hamas. A supposed Palestinian organization, it recruits and organizes using the Internet. Most of its sites and servers function out of Russia.

Iran. Has very close ties to Russia, China, and the other major communist states including Cuba and Venezuela. Awarded Hugo Chavez, communist leader of Venezuela, the Islamic Republic Medal, Iran’s highest award. Hosts summits of terrorist organizations which include openly communist terrorist groups. One such summit resulted in the formation of Hezbollah. Their form of government is called Red

Shiism by some, a synthesis of communism and Shia Islam which was developed by Persian Marxist Ali Shariati and the Chamran brothers.

Iraq. Ruled by the Dawa Party which was headquartered in Teheran, Iran, while Saddam Hussein ruled Iraq, hosted by the Iranian revolutionary government. The first president of Iraq under U.S. occupation traveled to Iran to lay a wreath at the grave of the Ayatollah Khomeini immediately after his election to head Iraq. Iraq today is openly allied with Iran.

Ayatollah Khomeini, the first head of revolutionary Iran under whose leadership American embassy personnel were held hostage for 444 days. Worked closely with the French Communist Party while in exile in France during the reign of the Shah.

Kosovo Liberation Army. The leaders of this organization were installed by our government as the new leaders of Islamic Kosovo. This revolutionary communist army is known as drug dealers to the world.

Kurdish Workers Party. An Islamic terrorist organization operating out of Northern Iraq. Until recently, its flag was the hammer and sickle symbol of communism. It was changed to something less obvious for the American TV audience: a torch within a star.

The Muslim Brotherhood. Uses the examples of the French Revolution, Marx, and Lenin as their template. Their community involvement is patterned after the program conceived by the American leftist revolutionary, Saul Alinsky.

Hizb ut-Tahrir al Islami (The Islamic Liberation Party). A global Sunni network, with supposed links to al-Qaeda. Active in the U.S., its July 2009 conference in Chicago was titled, "The Fall of Capitalism and the Rise of Islam." The organization is a fusion of Marx and Mohammed, or Islamo-Leninism.

Rev. Benjamin Chavis, now called Imam Ben Muhammad. His early career was working with Marxist organizations and people who advocated terror and violence. He became the star of the cause célèbre of the communist party as one of the Wilmington 10, in prison for arson. His message from prison in October, 1977 to the communist newspaper,

Daily World, was: “To my friends, to my comrades, and to all engaged in the people’s movement...” Vice President, National Council of Churches, 1988. CEO, NAACP, 1993. National Director, Million Man March. Special assistant to Louis Farrakhan. Hip Hop Records.

We must reiterate that the problem of such “wolves in sheep’s clothing” includes people who became Christian and Jewish clerics as well. The communist dominated Students for a Democratic Society told their radical leaders in the 1980s to adopt pin-stripped suits and infiltrate middle class organizations to better carry out their revolutionary aims.

Some of these individuals are more shocking than the revelations above, even in the Christian denominations. Just remember that if the revolutionaries can influence both sides, then it is easier to get people hating one another to the point of conflict.

Appendix III

The following are some of the more notable individuals who have called for the establishment of a New World Order (NWO).

The New World Order is a term used by those who advocate it; it is not a term invented by those who oppose it. Boiled down to its basic elements, the NWO is a world government under socialism. This can be confirmed simply by looking at the steps they advocate or implement in any program they support.

Fidel Castro, in speech before the United Nations, demanded a “new world order.” *The Post-Register*, Idaho Falls, ID, October 12, 1979.

Mikhail S. Gorbachev, as head of the communist government of Russia, urged a new world order. *Milwaukee Sentinel*, June 5, 1990. In an editorial in *The New York Times*, October 21, 2001, he again recommended, “It is now the responsibility of the world community to transform the coalition against terrorism into a coalition for a peaceful world order.”

George H. W. Bush stated that our (the government’s) objective was a new world order under the United Nations in his speech before the Joint Session of Congress, September 11, 1990. In August 1991, the White House issued “National Security Strategy of the United States,”

promoting a New World Order as an aim and policy of the United States in the preface and signed by Bush.

Joseph R. Biden, Jr. A long-time advocate of the New World Order, wrote an article appearing in the April 23, 1992 Wall Street Journal entitled, “How I Learned to Love the New World Order.” He also published his speeches in the U.S. Senate into a booklet on the subject, entitled, *On the Threshold of the New World Order*.

China Daily, a Chinese communist newspaper, stated days after 9/11 that the aim of the war on terror must be to establish a “new world order.”

Gordon Brown, British Prime Minister. Has held secret talks according to The New Zealand Herald, of March 8, 2008, to bring about a “new world order.” He reaffirmed his urging for the NWO at the economic summit in London in early 2009.

Zbigniew Brzezinski, former foreign policy advisor to President Carter. Foreign policy advisor to McCain in 2000. One of his sons advised Obama and the other advised McCain in the election of 2008. He has long advocated the merger of the U.S. with communist states, as can be seen in his book *Between Two Ages*, which became the basis for the world-government-promoting Trilateral Commission, of which George H. W. Bush, Kissinger and other high ranking U.S. officials are or were members.

Henry A. Kissinger, former U.S. Secretary of State. In the January 12, 2009 International Herald Tribune which is the Global Edition of The New York Times, Kissinger wrote an article entitled, “the chance for a new world order.” He called for Obama to use the financial crisis and the war on terror as a means to establish the NWO. He also glowingly called the NAFTA agreement a large step toward a NWO.

What we see is that persons who advocate a change in our government have been elevated to positions of high responsibility, not only those named above, but many who started out as street agitators as in the case of Ben Chavis noted in Appendix II. These are only a few examples since they fit the theme and size restrictions of this booklet. There are many, many more.

Appendix IV

Personal Experiences of the Author at Transportation Sites

In addition to the violation of the oath to the Constitution by the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) and military personnel, specifically the First, Second, Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Amendments of the Bill of Rights, the following have been witnessed:

After landing at Sea-Tac airport in Seattle and as I was leaving the airplane, there were four women waiting on the jet bridge to board the aircraft and clean it. They were dressed in Muslim garb. Indeed, two of the women had every part of their face and body covered in the Islamic manner showing only their eyes. You could not tell if these two were actually women. I asked a member of the TSA about it and he told me that they did not screen these people or the baggage handlers as thoroughly as they screen the passengers.

At another airport, I witnessed a team of Russian immigrants going on board to clean an aircraft. How did I know? They were speaking Russian amongst themselves. I asked the gate attendant if this was a concern to her and she replied that it was.

In Newark, I had to present my photo ID and boarding pass to a woman TSA official who was dressed in the Muslim burqa from head to toe. The burqa was TSA blue.

The first time I was pulled out of line at an airport security check-in point and “wanded,” the name on the badge of the person wanding me was Mohammad.

I have been seeing more and more “express” commuter aircraft being manned by foreign-born individuals — sometimes the entire crew.

I rode a ferry from Seattle to Bremerton, Washington in early 2009. Once we were ready to depart, four U. S. Coast Guard rigid rubber boats came up along side our ferry. Each had a manned machine gun on the bow. Two of these craft escorted us across the peaceful waters of Puget Sound and we were continually put at ease by the ferry’s loudspeaker system with a crew member telling us that there was no cause for concern; it was all a routine exercise as part of our Homeland Security operations.

As we neared the various inlets leading into Bremerton, small pleasure craft with families out for the day were moving through these inlets. Each time we approached one of these craft, one of the Coast Guard's rubber boats sped ahead and did a u-turn along side the pleasure craft and stared down the people on board. The numbers of these pleasure craft were such that the USCG boats were joined by two more.

How would you feel as a parent, out on a pleasure excursion in your boat with a USCG craft screaming up to you at 30 knots, making an instant u-turn along side of you and staring down your wife and kids with a machine gun? Feel safe? Secure? Or, intimidated?

One problem that I read about in the *Chicago Tribune* was that the immigration service raided O'Hare airport searching for and finding a number of illegal aliens working in sensitive areas whom they then arrested. In the process, they discovered that a company providing security at O'Hare had 111 out of 134 security personnel wearing badges that did not match who they were!

If there is a real war on terror, one would think that these things I have just related would not be happening. Is this stupidity or design on the part of those in government?

Regarding the boating incident, what if you were an American who feels that it is a violation of your rights and lets the USCG know about it? What would then be the reaction of the Coast Guard personnel? Would they board your craft? Escort you into port? Take your boat's identification number and then send a federal agent to talk to you about your attitude? This is not a far-fetched question since you can be detained at airports for "attitude" when being searched.

But the bigger question is this: What is the next step? Many possibilities loom. Board the private pleasure craft as "routine exercises?" Ban all craft so many yards from ferries in the narrow waterways of Puget Sound? Or, simply ban all boats, period?


These may seem to be ridiculous questions, but a few years ago, the scenes I have described would have seemed too outrageous to even contemplate, much less tolerate.

The war on terror is changing the face of America more than it is eliminating terror.




The Declaration of Independence and the Constitution

This pocket-size booklet (approximately 3.5" x 5") contains the two most important documents in American political history. It is a great reference to have on hand to defend constitutional principles. (2009ed, 48pp, pb, 1/\$2.00ea; 10-49/\$1.75ea; 50+/\$1.50ea) **BKLTDIC**



The Shadows of Power

Author James Perloff exposes the subversive roots and global designs of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR). Passed off as a think-tank, this group is the "power behind the throne," with hundreds of top government officials drawn from its ranks. The book traces CFR activity from the Wilson to Reagan administrations. (2008ed, 272pp, pb, \$10.95) **BKSOP**



The Law

This classic exposé of socialism and communism as "lawful plunder" explains the fundamental principles involved in determining the proper scope of government. It explains socialist fallacies. (2007ed, 74pp, pb, \$4.95) **BKL**



9/11 Press for Truth


The coverup exposed by the 9/11 families

This hard-hitting video presents some of the most glaring discrepancies, lies, and coverups concerning the deadliest terrorist attack on American soil. (2006, 85min, \$19.95) **DVD911PFT**



Overview of America

This video gives you a big-picture vision of why we enjoy so much personal freedom and prosperity in America. (2006, 29min, sleeved DVD, 1/\$1.00; 11-20/\$0.90ea; 21-49/\$0.80ea; 50-99/\$0.75ea; 100-999/\$0.70ea; 1000+/\$0.64ea) **DVD00APS** (2006, 29min, cased DVD, 1/\$5.95; 2-10/\$4.95ea; 11-24/\$3.95; 25+/\$2.25ea) **DVD00A**

A man wearing a grey cap and a plaid shirt is holding a large American flag. He is looking off to the side with a slight smile. The background is a cloudy sky, and there are some tall grasses in the foreground.

CARRYING AMERICA FORWARD

SINCE 1958. GET INVOLVED TODAY.

The John Birch Society

Appleton, WI 54912-8040 • 1-800-JBS-USA1 • JBS.org